11/7/2017


UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE – PROFILE


- Tennessee State Flagship and Land Grant
- Carnegie Classification: Doctoral University; Very High Research
- 9 Undergraduate Colleges; 360+ Programs of Study
- Undergraduate Enrollment: 22,317 First-time Freshmen: 4.896
- st-time Freshmen: 4,896 Ave. GPA: 3.9 Ave. ACT: 27 Out-of-State: 20% Underrepresented Minority: 21%
- Retention Rate: 86%
- Graduation Rate: 70%


UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE - ADVISING ISSUES

Vol Vision 2020 Strategic Planning Process - Student Feedback

- Inconsistency Some students report excellent advising experiences, while others claim • misinformation or ineffective appointments
- Access/ Scheduling Difficulty scheduling appointments in some cases
- Career/ Professional Exploration Students recommend more and earlier focus on career/ graduate school options •
- Engagement in the Discipline/Faculty Mentors Faculty are desired as mentors, but charge of academic planning, interventions, and administrative tasks can be problematic
- $\label{eq:transition} Transition/\ Coordination \ Issues arise with double majors/dud degrees, students in transition (working with two colleges), and transfer students not accepted into major of choice$







USF (Tampa) -Student Support Service Challenges

fStudent data spread across multiple units and disparate systems fNo integrated picture of whole student, leading to "spreadsheet shuffle" f



PANEL DISCUSSION

- What was the impetus for change in advising at your institution?
- How did your process promote buy-in with advisors, key partners, and faculty?
- What was your approach to addressing funding issues?
- How did you incorporate use of data and technology in advising efforts?
- What are your main lessons learned for your peers?

REFERENCES

University of Tennessee https://advising.utk.edu/

University of Cincinnati http://www.uc.edu/advising